

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,676	12/05/2003	Luis E. Luciani JR.	200314489-1	3179
22879 7590 10/29/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			WALSH, JOHN B	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			PAPER NUMBER
,			2151	,
	•			
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

~ ~ /
IYV
IV.
w
1 -

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/729,676	LUCIANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John B. Walsh	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	action is non-final.					
<i>'</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/03.	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/729,676 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On Page 1, paragraph 0001 of the specification the cross-reference to related applications needs to be completed. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5, 8-11 and 13-19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-12 of copending Application No. 10/728,465. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are not patentably distinct and anticipate the claims.

Art Unit: 2151

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Publication "Integrated Lights-Out Technology: Enhancing the Manageability of Proliant Servers".

As concerns claim 1, a system comprising: a CPU (inherent to have a CPU); a memory (page 1, paragraph 1) coupled to the CPU, the memory storing programs executable by the CPU; and a system management processor (page 1, paragraph 1-RISC processor; page 3-ASIC) coupled to the CPU, wherein the system management processor is operable selectively to establish hardware-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph) and software-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph-firmware).

As concerns claim 2, the system of claim 1, wherein the programs executable by the CPU support software-based remote console sessions (page 3, paragraph 2 - software and firmware).

As concerns claim 3, the system of claim 2, wherein the programs executable by the CPU enable data transfer between the system and the system management processor (the term "enable" is not a positive limitation since it only enables data transfer and does not positively recite that the act of data transfer ever takes place; page 3, paragraph 4 – subsystem can monitor host server thus data transfer takes place).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,676

Art Unit: 2151

As concerns claim 4, the system of claim 1, wherein the system management processor comprises an application-specific integrated circuit (page 3).

As concerns claim 5, the system of claim 4, wherein the system management processor supports hardware-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph) and software-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph).

As concerns claim 6, the system of claim 1, wherein the system management processor is powered independently from the system (page 5, paragraph 1).

As concerns claim 7, the system of claim 1, wherein when operating a hardware remote console the system management processor tracks changes in a video memory, analyzes the changes, compresses data describing the changes, and sends the compressed data to remote locations (page 7, paragraph 1).

As concerns claim 8, a system comprising: a host computer comprising: a CPU (inherent to have a CPU); a memory (page 1, paragraph 1) coupled to the CPU; and a system management processor (page 1, paragraph 1-RISC processor) coupled to the CPU and memory; a remote computer coupled to the system management processor by way of a communication network (page 6, paragraph 4); wherein the remote computer accesses the host computer by way of the system management processor to initiate a remote console session; and wherein the system management processor selectively switches between a software-based remote console session (page 3, fourth paragraph; page 6-virtual presence, remote console) and a hardware-based remote console session (page 3, fourth paragraph; page 4, line 9-hardware based).

As concerns claim 9, the system of claim 8, wherein the remote computer further comprises a software-based remote console applet program (page 9, paragraph 3) and a

Application/Control Number: 10/729,676

Art Unit: 2151

hardware-based remote console applet program (page 9, paragraph 3), the software-based remote console applet program supporting software-based remote console sessions and the hardware-based remote console applet program supporting hardware-based remote console sessions.

As concerns claim 10, the system of claim 9, wherein the hardware-based remote console applet program controls the software-based remote console applet program (page 11, paragraph 2).

As concerns claim 11, the system of claim 9, wherein the system management processor controls the hardware-based remote console applet program and the software-based remote console applet program (page 3, last paragraph).

As concerns claim 12, the system of claim 8, wherein the system management processor is powered separately from the system (page 5, paragraph 1).

As concerns claim 13, the system of claim 8, wherein the memory comprises programs executable by the CPU, the programs supporting software-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph; page 6-virtual presence, remote console).

As concerns claim 14, the system of claim 13, wherein the programs enable communications between the CPU and the system management processor (the term "enable" is not a positive limitation since it only enables data transfer and does not positively recite that the act of data transfer ever takes place; page 3, paragraph 4 – subsystem can monitor host server thus data transfer takes place).

As concerns claim 15, a computer system, comprising: a means for executing programs (inherent to have a CPU); a means for storing programs (page 1, paragraph 1) for execution

Application/Control Number: 10/729,676

Art Unit: 2151

coupled to the means for executing; and a means for providing remote console (page 6, paragraph 4) to the computer system coupled to the means for executing; wherein the means for providing selectively establishes hardware-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph; page 4, line 9-hardware based) and software-based remote console sessions (page 3, fourth paragraph; page 6-virtual presence, remote console).

As concerns claim 16, the computer system of claim 15, wherein the means for storing further comprises programs for execution that support software-based remote console sessions (page 6, paragraphs 4-5).

As concerns claim 17, the computer system of claim 16, wherein the programs for execution facilitate communications between the computer system and the means for providing (page 3, paragraph 4 – subsystem can monitor host server thus data transfer takes place).

As concerns claim 18, the computer system of claim 15, wherein the means for providing comprises: an application-specific integrated circuit (page 3), the application-specific integrated circuit comprising a microcontroller; and a memory (page 1, paragraph 1) coupled to the application-specific integrated circuit.

As concerns claim 19, the computer system of claim 18, wherein the memory is used for communication between the means for providing and the computer system (page 1, paragraph 1-memory directly and indirectly aides in the performance of the system including communication).

As concerns claim 20, the computer system of claim 20, wherein when operating a hardware remote console the means for providing tracks changes in a video memory, analyzes

Application/Control Number: 10/729,676 Page 7

Art Unit: 2151

the changes, compresses data describing the changes, and sends the compressed data to remote locations (page 7, paragraph 1).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO-892.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information

regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from

a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2151